

From: Daguiard, Robert [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BBE9682B940C4F2C90732E4D37355DD4-DAGUIARD,]
Sent: 4/27/2018 8:03:14 PM
To: Schultz, David [dSchultz@bloombergenvironment.com]; Beck, Nancy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=168ecb5184ac44de95a913297f353745-Beck, Nancy]
Subject: RE: EPA secret science rule

Robert Dagguillard
Office of Media Relations
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC
+1 (202) 564-6618 (O)
+1 (202) 360-0476 (M)

ED 002389 00000656-00001

beck.nancy@epa.gov

Subject: EPA secret science rule

My name is David Schultz and I'm a reporter at Bloomberg Environment. My colleague Patrick Ambrosio recommended I reach out to you for a story I'm working on about the EPA's recent announcement that it would bar the use of unpublished scientific data in rulemaking.

One aspect of this announcement that we're zeroing in on is that this rule would allow the administrator to waive this requirement in the case where scientific data can't be made public for some reason. I wanted to get your opinion about whether this would give the agency too much discretion and whether it could open the EPA up to an "arbitrary and capricious" legal challenge if the agency only grants this waiver to industry-generated data.

If you have a chance, please give me a call so we can discuss this further. I'll be at 202-510-4655 for the remainder of the day.

Looking forward to speaking with you,

David

Bloomberg Environment

dschultz@bloombergenvironment.com